JUSTIFICATION FOR THE USE OF A 10KM BUFFER AROUND THE USK BAT SITES SAC.

Introduction

The Usk ValleTy o(L T*[(T)0.640e05(42(7(A))eo*)-7.22949-30.1655a)-(y)6555(V)1.57442(c.294974 arily for the sess horseshoe bat —an annex II species feature, qualifying featuresmely European Dry Heaths, Degraded al regenerat lanket bogs, Calcareous rocky slopes with not open to the ignumber of Tilio-Acerion forests of slopes,

lsk Bat Sites SAQuations are known to use northern parts

Lesser Horseshoe Bat Ecology

During the summer, lesser horseshoe bats form **mityter**colonies, generally in undisturbed areas of old rural buildings, and forage in mixerciduous woodland, woodland edges, scrub, hedgerows and treelines. Associated pasture and waterses can be an important source of the prey items, although foraging flights away from weld cover appear limited. Such mixed landuse, especially on south-facing slopes, favourslessemoths and other insects on which the bats feed. In winter they depend on caves, abandoned smand other underground sites - including cellars and ice-houses of old manor houses - foisturbed hibernation. Bats will emerge to forage during winter in mild conditions (above 6). A series of other roost sites will also be used by a population, including some only for nightsting during foraging.

Current knowledge on population range is incompletelying on a limited number of radio tracking studies from summer sites and evidence out linkage from ringing studies. Studies have shown most foraging to be within 2 - 3 Km/roof traction to suitable foraging distances, typically 4-5 Km, being used. The dynamical concentration of suitable foraging habitat will affect foraging distances as does alwailability of suitable night roosts. Where habitats are fragmented, linear features such declared form important corridors between roosts and foraging areas.

- Avoid loss, damage and fragmentation (including that is ing from inappropriate lighting) to foraging areas used by bats e.g. want scrub, hedgerows, pasture and tree lines along field boundaries as these areas suppoprey and provide 'perch' sites and travel routes for the bats.
- Where a plan or development proposal cannot avoists lof foraging habitats consideration should be given to the enhancementerationed foraging habitat in the surrounding area. This should be based on an strandeling of the distribution and ecological requirements of the species.
- Ensure best practice in drawing up mitigation plans/proposals affecting lesser horseshoe bats and compliance with relevant latignists

To comply with Regulations 61 and 102 of the Covastion of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010, the impact of a plan or project proposal wiled to be considered on a case-by-case basis, using guidelines developed between the local phanaiuthority (LPA) and CCW on the likely impacts of particular policiesT2170.g436(a)]TJ 249.383.74(r)2.80561bbonTd [(i)-2.1655-0.29554]