Day 2 1615 hours
SESSION 5 SIX BELLS COLLIERY SITE (Sites H1.14 and ED1.2) and PARC ARRAEL GRIFFIN (Site AS(N) 23))

Six Bells Colliery Site

1. [illegible] boundaries? Why does the Council seek to extend its boundaries (refer Focussed Change 16)?
2. Is this an appropriate site for housing given its locational attributes, highway connections and potential environmental impacts? Is this an appropriate site for a primary school?
3. Would development on this site represent an unacceptable loss of open space?
4. Should part of the site be considered for other purposes, including for leisure and tourism? If so, why?
5. Is this site or parts of the site highly vulnerable to flooding? If so, what would be the implications of seeking to site the proposed mix of uses here?

Attendees: Six Bells Community First (82)
Attendee for question 5 only: Envt Agency (18)
Optional: A Goodenough (52), Mrs L M Evans (56), Mr A Thomas (38),

Parc Arrael Griffin (AS (N) 23)

1. [illegible] leisure. It states that tourism development [illegible] the site as demonstrated by the establishment of the Guardian on the middle plateau. Why is it therefore necessary for reasons of soundness that the site be allocated for tourism and leisure purposes?

Attending: Mr S Jones (69), Six Bells Community First (82), Mr A Thomas (83)

Close 1800 hours