

Scale : layout	<p>A reduction in the area of the incoming materials yard from 640m to 40m</p> <p>Re-orientation of the warehouse to sit behind the packaging area creating a single linear building with a reduced footprint and more opportunities for existing landscaping and ecology to be retained</p> <p>Moving the main process building away from the northern boundary, yard and the southern boundary, yard along with a reduced width of each process line, yard</p>
Appealance	<p>Some changes to the materials being processed for external parts of the buildings in order to assist with the rearing up the massing and lessen the visual impact. Green roofs are also being processed for the Security building, RMS building, 9!G building and 1um+ Douse</p>
Access	<p>Introduction of a dedicated access route each for deliveries and staff vehicles. Delivery access now processed to the north of the site with staff access along the southern boundary</p>
Landscaping	<p>Reduced overall extent of the landscaping on the site retaining more of the existing woodland to the south of the site and around site boundaries where possible as well as retaining all of the wetland area to the east of the watercourse</p> <p>Dozens of landscaped corridors are processed between buildings and the north and south perimeters providing pedestrian access. In addition amenity areas are being included in the landscaped areas, car park areas would be landscaped with meadow grassland and trees and four small biodiverse green roofs are processed on small buildings, rails and tracks would be provided around the water detention basin which is one of several processed sustainable water management features</p>

Topic	" " E" Conclusions	" (") Addendum Conclusion
	<p>No significant effects</p> <p>Some positive and minor beneficial significance in relation to habitat loss and degradation/disturbance</p>	<p>No significant effects - reduced effects expected</p> <p>Percentage changes result in no changes to the assessment outcomes. Total habitat loss has been reduced overall and is estimated to be 66.17% of habitat areas and 46.9571(4605813507(y)1.44r)-07(o)-6.9571(s)2.11059(s)2.11059()250] TJs-186.227 -571(r)-46058.974889(A)-6.46855(+)-7.44544(a)3.74889(l)11.74</p>

Topic	" (" E" Conclusions	" (') ! ddendum Conclusion
	Minor adverse effects	<p>Minor adverse effects P some im+rovements eA+ected!</p> <p>% .e +ro+osed segregation of access for .eavy ve .icles from staff ve .icles +rovides a ,etterment t.rough. limiting ve .icular conflict!</p> <p>% .ere is no c.ange in t.e num ,er of DG " movements to?from t.e site and total staff num ,ers are also unc.anged .ence t.ere is no c.ange in t.e total num ,er of car +ar/ing and cycle +ar/ing s+aces re0uired!</p> <p>% .e ne@ +ro+osed layout can accommodate over and a ,ove t.e ve .icle stac/ing ca+acity t. at @as outlined in t.e +revious design! ensuring t.ere is sufficient ca+acity @it. in t.e site for goods ve .icles to load! unload and @ait ,efore de+arting!</p> <p>% .ese c.anges result in no increase in adverse trans+ort effects t. an t. ose identified in t.e)&)) ES!</p>
\$	<p>Moderate adverse effects</p> <p>Some su ,stantial adverse effects relating to ;ommunity of Beaufort and Brecon Beacons National !ar/</p>	<p>Moderate adverse effects!</p> <p>; onstruction effects from t.e amended design @ill ,e very similar to t. ose +reviously assessed!</p> <p>% .e Amended Develo+ment @ill ,e less +rominent and visually intrusive in all assessed vie@s @ .ere t.e main ,ul/ of t.e ,uilt form is visi ,le! .o@ever! it remains a large and detracting feature in +eo+le@s vie@s and @ill t. erefore continue to give rise to adverse visual c.ange! % .e magnitude of t. is c.ange @ill ,e reduced! ,ut not to t.e eAtent t. at outcome of t.e assessment of significance @ill ,e any ,etter t. an for t.e A++roved Develo+ment!</p> <p>As @it. t.e A++roved Develo+ment! t. e +ro+osed c.anges are +redicted to give rise to moderate significant visual effects on t.e ;ommunity of R3.47856(t)! . licf num . N</p>